

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/084,325 02/28/2002		Yoshihiko Suemura	Y2238.0003/P003	6737		
42534 7	42534 7590 10/24/2006			EXAMINER		
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M			
1100-100 QUEEN ST OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA			2616			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/084,325	SUEMURA, YOSHIHIKO	SUEMURA, YOSHIHIKO	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Justin M. Philpott	2616		

	Justin M. Philpott	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	(DTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(I I OL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b - 6 Al	. 4° 5° A 10° 10°	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The Amendment overcomes the rejections of claims 8, 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Eberle does not teach two separate node types is not persuasive since Eberle teaches a first node type (e.g., nodes 422/432 of quick channel switch 460 for quick channel operations) and a second node type (e.g., nodes 420/430 of bulk channel switch 450 for bulk channel operations) as broadly recited in applicant's claims.